

Johnson County Park & Recreation District
BID TABULATION SHEET
For TOMAHAWK HILLS GOLF COURSE CLUBHOUSE
Bid Opening Thursday, December 10, 2009 at 2:00 PM
JCPRD Administration Building Boardroom

Company	Bid Security (X)	BASE BID (\$)	Addenda Recv'd (X)	Days to Complete	Alt. #1 (Add) Landscaping	Alt #2 (Add) Pervious Parking Area	Alt #3 (Add) Heating & Cooling	Alt #4 (Add) Sitting Wall	Alt #5 (Add) Face Brick	Alt #6 (+ or -) (Add or Deduct) Simulated Stone
Allison & Alexander, Inc.	X	1,794,815	X	196	13,326	76,684	76,725	7,250	5,439	16,864 +
A B Bradley Construction	X	1,658,000	X	215	11,554	43,160	184,820	5,456	2,952	20,000 -
Bruner Contracting Co.	X	1,790,000	X	180	12,700	73,000	75,000	20,000	6,000	5,500 -
Carlson Constr. Mgmt. Co.	X	1,768,440	X	270	12,710	41,965	121,346	9,625	4,312	8,240 -
Combes Construction LLC	X	1,598,000	X	270	17,500	77,000	50,000	9,300	5,500	10,000 -
Excel Construction, Inc.	X	1,719,000	X	240	8,700	46,000	50,000	17,500	4,600	8,500 -
Feyerherm Constr. Inc.	X	1,657,000	X	250	17,000	52,000	50,000	12,000	5,000	13,000 -
Harmon Construction, Inc.	X	1,787,500	X	195	12,750	62,000	250,000	12,000	4,500	7,500 -
J & M Contracting, Inc.	X	1,662,516	X	260	8,798	47,863	44,256	12,622	4,650	9,491 -
Neighbors Constr. Co., Inc.	X	1,744,106	X	200	8,200	41,920	82,356	8,000	5,000	30,000 -
Orr Constr. Mgmt., Inc.	X	1,708,000	X	270	15,000	39,000	97,000	5,200	4,600	9,500 -
Ed Moore Constr. Co.	X	1,701,000	X	215	12,478	99,667	94,046	13,068	4,800	10,000 -
Prosser / Wilbert Constr.	X	1,825,000	X	199	12,000	51,000	91,000	14,000	6,000	500-
Rothwell Construction, Inc.	X	1,710,777	X	200	11,325	52,066	72,128	7,070	5,236	5,500 -
Straub Construction	X	1,683,300	X	210	18,700	93,600	64,800	9,300	4,200	8,700 -
Vanum Const. Co., Inc.	X	2,100,000	X	270	12,800	88,000	51,000	7,400	5,300	5,500 -
Wilcott Construction, Inc.	X	1,613,000	X	240	9,186	92,832	94,326	8,178	6,049	5,876 -
Instant Shade, Inc.	Bid landscaping only – (NO BID)									
Architect's Estimate		1,825,000			75,000	50,000	60,000	10,000	10,000	5,000

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS: Staff recommends accepting the low base bid, including Alt. #1, Alt. #3, Alt. #4, and Alt. #5 submitted by Combes Construction. The bid submitted on Alt #2 was over the Architect's Estimate and could not be accepted. Staff recommends rejecting alternate #6, because the minimal cost savings, presented in the bid for substitution of synthetic stone in lieu of natural limestone, does not justify the aesthetic sacrifice of utilizing synthetic stone.